

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 14, 2007

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 07-60207
Summary Calendar

LETICIA SAUCEDO-CASTILLO

Petitioner

v.

MICHAEL B MUKASEY, U S ATTORNEY GENERAL

Respondent

Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals
BIA No. A78 126 130

Before KING, DAVIS and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Leticia Saucedo-Castillo (Saucedo) petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals order dismissing her appeal from the Immigration Judge's denial of her request for a continuance. Saucedo sought a continuance of her removal proceedings to prove that an immigrant visa was immediately available to her on the basis of a March 1979 priority date assigned to an approved I-130 petition filed on her behalf.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

The BIA found that the correct priority date was March 31, 1997, the filing date of the I-130 petition, and that there had been a typographical error on the approval notice. The administrative record does not compel a conclusion to the contrary. See 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(B). Saucedo thus has not shown that the denial of her request for a continuance constituted an abuse of discretion. See *Ahmed v. Gonzales*, 447 F.3d 433, 440 (5th Cir. 2006). By failing to adequately brief her assertions that the denial of her continuance motion violated her rights to due process and equal protection, Saucedo has abandoned the argument. See *Yohey v. Collins*, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th Cir. 1993); *Brinkmann v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner*, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987); FED. R. APP. P. 28(a)(9).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.